1	BEFORE THE					
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION					
3	IN THE MATTER OF:					
4	COMPANY)					
5 6	-VS-) No. 02-0443 MCI WORLDCOM COMMUNICATIONS,) INC.					
7	Complaint as to Section) 13-515 of the Illinois) Public Utilities Act)					
9	Chicago, Illinois					
10	August 12 2002					
11	August 13, 2002 Met, pursuant to notice at 1 o'clock p.m. BEFORE:					
12						
13						
14	MR. DAVID GILBERT, Administrative Law Judge					
15	APPEARANCES:					
16	MR. DARRELL TOWNSLEY					
17	205 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60601					
18	<pre>appearing for MCI WorldCom Communications Inc.;</pre>					
19	MR. MARK KERBER					
20	225 West Randolph, HQ-25D Chicago 60606					
21	appearing for Illinois Bell Telephone Company;.					
22						

```
1 APPEARANCES (continued)
 2
       MS. MARY STEPHENSON
       160 North LaSalle Street
 3
       Chicago, Illinois
            appearing for Staff of the Illinois Commerce
            Commission.
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
   SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
19 Patricia Wesley, CSR
   License No. 084-002170
20
21
22
```

- JUDGE GILBERT: Pursuant to the authority of the
- 2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call No. 02-0443,
- 3 if I could have appearances for the record, please,
- 4 beginning with complainant.
- 5 MR. KERBER: On behalf of Ameritech Illinois,
- 6 Mark Kerber, 225 West Randolph, HQ-25D, Chicago,
- 7 60606.
- 8 MR. TOWNSLEY: Appearing on behalf of respondent,
- 9 MCI WorldCom Communications, Incorporated, and MCI
- 10 Metro Access Transmission Services, LLC, Darrell
- 11 Townsley, 205 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100,
- 12 Chicago, Illinois, 60601.
- 13 MS. STEPHENSON: On behalf of staff of the Illinois
- 14 Commerce Commission, Mary Stephenson, 160 North
- 15 LaSalle, Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois, 60601.
- 16 JUDGE GILBERT: All right. There's been a filing
- 17 in this docket on behalf of respondent. It's
- 18 entitled, "Notice of Automatic Stay." I'll leave it
- 19 to Mr. Townsley to describe what he's done and then
- 20 the other parties can respond as they wish.
- 21 MR. TOWNSLEY: Yes. Your Honor, on July 21,
- 22 2002, WorldCom, Incorporated, and virtually all of its

- 1 operating subsidiaries in the United States, filed for
- 2 bankruptcy in the United States Bankruptcy Court in
- 3 the Southern District of New York. Based on that
- 4 filing, which was done under -- pursuant to Chapter 11
- 5 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, I believe that
- 6 filing automatically stays private rights of action
- 7 that have been brought against companies that are now
- 8 before the bankruptcy court, and that includes the
- 9 action that Ameritech brought against my client in
- 10 this particular proceeding, so the notice of automatic
- 11 stay that just puts the Commission and your Honor on
- 12 notice that we are before the bankruptcy court and
- 13 that this matter is automatically stayed by virtue of
- 14 the fact that we are before that court now.
- 15 JUDGE GILBERT: Mr. Kerber.
- 16 MR. KERBER: Your Honor, prior to the filing of
- 17 bankruptcy, Ameritech Illinois and MCI had indicated
- 18 to each other their willingness to try to resolve this
- 19 matter through negotiations.
- The parties continue to be willing to do
- 21 so, and, in fact, those efforts are underway. We have
- 22 had an initial meeting. We have no response at this

- 1 time to MCI's filing in this case.
- 2 If negotiations don't work out
- 3 positively, we would reserve whatever rights we may
- 4 have to take whatever actions we believe would be
- 5 appropriate to go forward with this case, whether that
- 6 be before the bankruptcy court or before this
- 7 Commission. We have not evaluated those issues at
- 8 this point.
- 9 JUDGE GILBERT: Ms. Stephenson, anything?
- 10 MS. STEPHENSON: No. Staff has no response or
- 11 filing.
- 12 JUDGE GILBERT: We'll leave it at this. There's
- 13 documents on file that purport to stay the
- 14 proceedings. The complainant is not at this time
- 15 opposing the obvious ramifications of that document,
- 16 which is to say the complainant is not asking for any
- 17 further action right now in the case, so there's
- 18 really, really nothing important for me to rule on.
- I would just remind the parties to be
- 20 aware of the one-year deadline which -- with respect
- 21 to the complainant before the Commission. And if
- 22 anyone wants to take any action between now and that

- 1 deadline, please let me know.
- JUDGE GILBERT: Although --
- 3 MR. WEBER: I guess, your Honor, in my view, we
- 4 would be operating under the fast track schedule
- 5 rather than the one-year schedule. Prior to this --
- 6 to this filing by MCI, the parties had worked toward
- 7 filing a joint motion to stay this for purposes
- 8 of negotiating, and, in MCI's view, that filing is --
- 9 as I understand it, would be inappropriate at this
- 10 point because of the bankruptcy stay would supercede
- 11 that.
- In order to avoid creating any type of a
- 13 conflict between the bankruptcy stay and this court's
- 14 or this Commission's jurisdiction, my view is that it
- 15 would just simply stay and toll (sic) any further
- 16 procedural deadlines or schedules until such time as
- 17 it's either ultimately dismissed, or the parties'
- 18 settle, or whatever.
- 19 I think that the one-year deadline
- 20 wouldn't apply to this proceeding, in any event,
- 21 and I think, to the extent where we are operating
- 22 under the bankruptcy stay, we're told whatever

- 1 deadlines we have.
- The reason I point this out is that,
- 3 and I think Mr. Townsley would agree with me on this
- 4 -- this is a large and very complex bankruptcy
- 5 proceeding and my understanding is that MCI's own
- 6 expectations are that the bankruptcy itself will take
- 7 9 to 12 months, and that's at the front end and, in my
- 8 experience, those estimates get longer rather than
- 9 shorter, as you know, so I think it's unlikely --
- 10 if we have actually a way to completing the
- 11 bankruptcy, which is available, I think it would be
- 12 unlikely that we be completing this in a year;
- 13 however, given MCI's position, I think it may be
- 14 unlikely that they would want to be filing for some
- 15 kind of extension because they -- maybe Mr. Townsley
- 16 should speak to this -- but they might view that as
- 17 somehow either unnecessary or conflicting with the
- 18 bankruptcy stay.
- 19 MR. TOWNSLEY: I guess I'm -- you know, I just fall
- 20 back to my position, which is based on what our
- 21 bankruptcy attorneys have told me, which is this
- 22 proceeding is stayed automatically by the fact we're

- 1 before the bankruptcy court.
- I haven't gone back to look at what the
- 3 deadline -- procedural deadlines are, whether it's a
- 4 complaint under, you know, the fast track provisions
- 5 of the Public Utilities Act or just under the general
- 6 provisions of the Public Utilities Act, but I do not
- 7 disagree that it appears as though the bankruptcy
- 8 proceeding is going to last at least nine months and
- 9 likely more than a year.
- In terms of deadlines, I would point
- 11 out that before -- before we got to the position we
- 12 are in today, there were outstanding motions to
- 13 dismiss and everything been stayed, including your
- 14 response to that, so whether we move forward, based on
- 15 that briefing, I don't know. I don't mean to
- 16 complicate matters. I'm just trying to respond to
- 17 you, Mark.
- MR. KERBER: We just threw the ALJ more stuff.
- 19 JUDGE GILBERT: There seems to be a number of
- 20 questions, the answers to which may not really make
- 21 much practical difference. I mean, one question is
- 22 what now governs this proceeding when solely viewed

- 1 under the Public Utilities Act? Since the complainant
- 2 received interim relief, do we remain on the fast
- 3 track?
- 4 MR. KERBER: I think my understanding would be
- 5 that we remain on the fast track schedule subject to
- 6 whatever extensions, agreements, et cetera, are worked
- 7 out by the parties, but by agreement, and you know we
- 8 were pretty much there when the bankruptcy interceded.
- 9 I think we had at that point informally agreed that we
- 10 were going to stay this thing and try to work it out.
- 11 JUDGE GILBERT: Okay.
- MR. KERBER: And then what really happened I think
- 13 was that the bankruptcy stay, simply, at least in
- 14 MCI's view, as I said, we are not contesting this for
- 15 the moment obviating the need to file anything to stay
- 16 it under Illinois law.
- 17 JUDGE GILBERT: Then adding in the impact of the
- 18 bankruptcy, whatever that may be, I understand you
- 19 are not contesting the filing.
- 20 How does that relate to our Public
- 21 Utilities Act? Does it -- does it stay the one year
- 22 or shorter deadline, whichever applies, or does it

- 1 have no effect on those things?
- 2 MR. KERBER: What I don't know, and I have no
- 3 research, maybe Darrell has any, is that whether the
- 4 bankruptcy stay tolls other procedural schedules or
- 5 deadlines and proceedings upon which it impacts.
- I guess maybe the best resolution to that
- 7 for today is not to try to resolve it since it isn't
- 8 before us and hopefully we'll never have to cross that
- 9 bridge.
- 10 At some point we may have some kind of
- 11 an issue about if we come out of bankruptcy one
- 12 way or another, either because it ends or because we
- 13 want to pursue it either with the bankruptcy court's
- 14 permission or through some other procedural event,
- 15 that we just have to address that possibility.
- 16 JUDGE GILBERT: Okay.
- MR. KERBER: Well, the bottom line to me is still
- 18 that -- the bottom line from me still -- from my
- 19 client's perspective, we are willing to talk. MCI's
- 20 willing to talk, and, in fact, we're talking. And as
- 21 long as that remains true, I remain hopeful that we
- 22 don't care about any of this other stuff.

- 1 JUDGE GILBERT: Okay. Well, I mean, there's
- 2 nothing for me to rule on, I guess what I originally
- 3 attempted to do, and I'm glad that you interposed
- 4 the complications that you did, because they exist,
- 5 and I think I hadn't anticipated them.
- I guess it's just up to you to decide
- 7 whether any rights you have here could be compromised
- 8 either under the fast track procedure or under the
- 9 one-year procedure under 10-108 as time passes, and I
- 10 guess it's up to you to decide whether there's
- 11 anything you want to do about that. I was just trying
- 12 to flag that issue.
- 13 MR. KERBER: Basically, it goes down to fair
- 14 warning. If we get out near a year and there's
- 15 something that I need to do, then I need to figure it
- 16 out and do it.
- 17 JUDGE GILBERT: Yes. I guess I don't know if
- 18 you are under the fast track to remain under fast
- 19 track even with interim relief. Let's assume that's
- 20 true. If that deadline passes, do you then default
- 21 to the one-year deadline or are you out? I don't
- 22 know.

- 1 MR. KERBER: We never had one that I know of. I
- 2 mean, we never had a situation where that's happened
- 3 and there's been a question of to fall off the sort of
- 4 fast track.
- 5 JUDGE GILBERT: On to the regular track or do you
- 6 fall out altogether and your complaint is simply not
- 7 acted upon? I don't know, so I'll leave that all to
- 8 you. I just wanted to at least raise the question.
- 9 And what happens after this, I'll just leave to you
- 10 guys to act or not act.
- 11 MR. KERBER: Send -- or not send
- 12 an E-Mail to our bankruptcy person.
- 13 JUDGE GILBERT: I think we muddied it enough. I
- 14 have nothing today, other than receive your comments,
- 15 and I think we have done that. Let's -- unless
- 16 there's anything else to add, we will just close this
- 17 without another date. Okay.
- 18 MR. TOWNSLEY: Thank you, your Honor.
- 19 MR. KERBER: Thank you.

20

21

22

1	MS.	STEPHENSON:	Thank	you.	
2					(Whereupon, the above
3					matter was adjourned
4					sine die.)
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
1 4					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
2 0					
21					
2.2					